Progression in interdisciplinary learning

Criterion A: Disciplinary grounding

Achievement level	Level descriptors Year 1	Level descriptors Year 3	Level descriptors Year 5
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	The student: demonstrates limited relevant disciplinary grounding.	The student: demonstrates limited relevant disciplinary grounding.	The student: demonstrates limited relevant disciplinary grounding.
3–4	 The student: demonstrates some relevant disciplinary grounding. 	 The student: demonstrates some relevant disciplinary grounding. 	The student: demonstrates some relevant disciplinary grounding.
5–6	The student: demonstrates most necessary disciplinary grounding.	The student: demonstrates most necessary disciplinary grounding.	The student: demonstrates most necessary disciplinary grounding.
7–8	The student: • demonstrates extensive necessary disciplinary grounding.	The student: • demonstrates extensive necessary disciplinary grounding.	The student: demonstrates extensive necessary disciplinary grounding.

Note: Disciplinary grounding describes factual, conceptual, and procedural knowledge that students develop from their study of MYP subjects. Teachers must use subject-specific criteria to support their judgment of student achievement in disciplinary grounding. These judgments can be based on specific summative assessments within the context of the interdisciplinary unit itself, or they may be determined by related disciplinary assessment tasks.

Levels awarded for this criterion should represent the joint assessment of collaborating teachers from all subjects participating in the interdisciplinary inquiry. When student achievement varies in applying knowledge from different disciplines, teachers should use "best-fit" professional judgment to determine an appropriate level that represents each student's overall disciplinary grounding.

Criterion B: Synthesizing

Achievement level	Level descriptors Year 1	Level descriptors Year 3	Level descriptors Year 5
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	The student: • establishes few and/or superficial connections between disciplinary knowledge.	The student: • establishes few and/or superficial connections between disciplinary knowledge.	The student: • establishes few and/or superficial connections between disciplinary knowledge.
3-4	The student: connects disciplinary knowledge to achieve adequate understanding.	The student: connects disciplinary knowledge to achieve adequate understanding.	The student: connects disciplinary knowledge to achieve adequate understanding
5–6	The student: • synthesizes disciplinary knowledge to demonstrate interdisciplinary understanding.	The student: • synthesizes disciplinary knowledge to demonstrate interdisciplinary understanding.	The student: • synthesizes disciplinary knowledge to demonstrate consistent, thorough interdisciplinary understanding.
7–8	The student: • synthesizes disciplinary knowledge to demonstrate consistent interdisciplinary understanding.	The student: • synthesizes disciplinary knowledge to demonstrate consistent and thorough interdisciplinary understanding.	The student: • synthesizes disciplinary knowledge to demonstrate consistent, thorough and insightful interdisciplinary understanding.

Note: For this criterion, the demonstrations should vary from familiar (year 1), to unfamiliar and familiar (year 3), to a range of increasingly complex unfamiliar situations (year 5) as students progress in the programme. Teachers are responsible for providing opportunities for students to demonstrate interdisciplinary understanding with increasing independence.



Criterion C: Communicating

Achievement level	Level descriptors Year 1	Level descriptors Year 3	Level descriptors Year 5
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding in a limited way.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding with little structure, clarity or coherence.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding with little structure, clarity or coherence.
3-4	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding with some clarity.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding with some clarity and coherence identifies sources.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding with some organization and coherence, recognizing appropriate forms or media lists sources.
5-6	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding in a way that is mostly clear identifies sources.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding that is generally well organized and coherent, recognizing appropriate forms or media identifies relevant sources.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding that is generally organized, clear and coherent, beginning to use selected forms or media effectively documents relevant sources using a recognized convention.

Achievement	Level descriptors	Level descriptors	Level descriptors
level	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5
7–8	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding with clarity, organization and coherence acknowledges relevant sources.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding that is clear and well structured, beginning to use the selected forms or media appropriately documents relevant sources.	The student: communicates interdisciplinary understanding that is consistently well structured, clear and coherent, using selected forms or media effectively consistently documents well-chosen sources using a recognized convention.

Note: For this criterion, communication in year 1 should take place with support, in year 3 with minimal guidance and in year 5 independently.

Criterion D: Reflecting

Achievement level	Level descriptors Year 1	Level descriptors Year 3	Level descriptors Year 5
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	describes strengths and limitations of the interdisciplinary learning process in a limited way.	reflects on himself or herself as a disciplinary and interdisciplinary learner in a limited way outlines the contribution of selected disciplines in a limited way.	The student: demonstrates limited reflection on his or her development of interdisciplinary understanding describes superficially the limitations or benefits of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations.
3–4	 describes strengths and limitations of the interdisciplinary learning process states some limitations or benefits of disciplinary knowledge in specific situations. 	 reflects on himself or herself as a disciplinary and interdisciplinary learner states some limitations and benefits of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations. 	The student: demonstrates adequate reflection on his or her development of interdisciplinary understanding describes some benefits and limitations of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations.

Achievement level	Level descriptors Year 1	Level descriptors Year 3	Level descriptors Year 5
5–6	 explains strengths and limitations of the interdisciplinary learning process states some limitations and benefits of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations. 	consistently reflects on himself or herself as a disciplinary and interdisciplinary learner describes some benefits and limitations of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations.	The student: demonstrates significant reflection on his or her development of interdisciplinary understanding explains the limitations and benefits of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations.
7–8	evaluates strengths and limitations of the interdisciplinary learning process describes some benefits and limitations of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations.	consistently reflects with sophistication on himself or herself as a disciplinary and interdisciplinary learner explains the limitations and benefits of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge in specific situations.	The student: demonstrates thorough and nuanced reflection on his or her development of interdisciplinary understanding evaluates thoroughly and with sophistication the limitations and benefits of disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge and ways of knowing in specific situations.

Note: Students should reflect on the contribution of disciplines throughout the interdisciplinary learning process.

